UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/707,274             | 12/02/2003                          | KUO-CHIANG CHEN      | 20.2894             | 1273             |
|                        | 7590 04/04/200<br>GER OILFIELD SER\ |                      | EXAM                | IINER            |
| 200 GILLINGHAM LANE    |                                     |                      | AURORA, REENA       |                  |
| MD 200-9<br>SUGAR LAND | o, TX 77478                         |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                        |                                     |                      | 2862                |                  |
|                        |                                     |                      |                     |                  |
|                        |                                     |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                        |                                     |                      | 04/04/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |          |
|---|--|--|----------|
|   | 10/707,274   | CHEN ET AL.  |          |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |          |
|   | Reena Aurora   | 2862   |          |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply   | n appears on the cover sheet w   | vith the correspondence addres   | ss       |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the reamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | G DATE OF THIS COMMUN<br>FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a<br>n.<br>eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC<br>statute, cause the application to become A | ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this commuNBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |          |
| Status  |  |  |          |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2     2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐     3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the closed.   | This action is non-final.<br>owance except for formal ma   | • •  | erits is |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |          |
| 4)  Claim(s) 31 - 37 and 61 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 31 - 37 and 61 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction a   | ndrawn from consideration.   |  |          |
| Application Papers  |  |  |          |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous three continuous three continuous transfer is objected to by the second      | accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawin   | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1   |          |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |          |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the linear or certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the linear or certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the linear or certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the linear or certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the linear or certified copies of the certified co | ments have been received.<br>ments have been received in<br>priority documents have bee<br>ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | Application No<br>n received in this National Sta  | ge       |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | B) Paper No  | Summary (PTO-413)<br>o(s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application<br>                                 |          |

Art Unit: 2862

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is in response to amendment received on 12/19/07.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 31 - 37 and 61 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,933,726. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent are broader than and encompass the boundaries of the claims of the instant application.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2862

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reena Aurora whose telephone number is 571-272-2263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Assouad can be reached on 571-272-2210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,274 Page 4

Art Unit: 2862

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Reena Aurora

/Reena Aurora/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2862